

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments The indications are that Milton Keynes Council will promote the "urbanisation" of the Sherington/Embsay/Diney corridor. This rural area must not be lost, through becoming part of Milton Keynes. The villages are unique and must not be lost in this way. We should develop our own plans and agenda, and we should then promote these vigorously to the Council, demonstrating strong local support.

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments As above - we must protect the village and its rural setting, through developing and promoting our own agenda

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. Agree, but the rural, village character of Sherington must be maintained.

The burden of proof should be on those proposing development, not on those seeking to protect the rural environment.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Because none of Milton Keynes' current planning documents indicate Sherington as a location for significant housing development, we are tempted to agree with Proposition One. It appears, however, that Milton Keynes could eventually become a major growth area and we feel that a positive view should be presented. We prefer Sherington more or less as it is with the retention of a surrounding green belt, and therefore agree with Proposition Two. It seems a pity that such radical major development could, as you predict, result in appeals by landowners. Their views are understandable but regrettable for those who prefer the status quo.*

*continued.....*

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

*We applaud the work of the Parish Council in presenting this document.*  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

3/117/2

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments

*Refer Refer.*

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

*The few sites suggested for development will extend the boundary and fall outside the present village envelope. Any development should be restricted to infill sites inside the village envelope.*

*e.g. Redevelop Smiths Yards, and the area contained within High St/ Harriott End.*

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Waiting will only cause bigger problems later on.*

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Even the biggest tree has to bend*

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. This seems to be the only bitter pill to swallow. If building gives more benefit to the village then this must be the way forward. What is the opinion of the shopkeeper? Especially if we have more houses built in the Perry Lane end of the village. Will we be given shopping facilities? This would give us two shops and a post office fighting for a livelihood. Would this really be good progress?

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.



# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments I think it would be very wrong to sit back and wait for proposals to come to Sherington's doorstep. We should ask Milton Keynes Council to give us any available information about their short and long term developments for Sherington and put our thoughts forward. We should not just sit back and think that the proposals might not be too bad after all.

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments I believe that to give in to the development of new housing within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge may go on - and on - and on - when will there be a halt. If the development starts then more and more may follow. If landowners wish to appeal - then let them if they want to persist - but the motives for development and quantity of development needs to be determined from the outset. It is paramount that if any development is considered at all then the whole programme of development would need to be provided at the outset.

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

**Comments** There would have to be very clear decisions made on how much development would be reasonable for all the factors stated above. The above provisions would be integral with development. However, could not the same points be used again in 10, 15, 20 years? Is this what was considered when Carfax Close (10/11/82) was designed. The landowners who want to develop the village could survive without any of the above benefits or even without the village being around, so I would like to know precisely what developments they have in mind!

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

Perhaps residents in the village would like to buy some "land" which is at the moment "farm land". If anyone lives on the edge of the village and is in a position to buy some of the farm or agricultural land, then this might go some way to softening the blow of a large or small village development. Definite proposals are required and not just ideas. How many dwellings are proposed in Sherington?

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *WHILST LEAVING THE VILLAGE AS IT IS IT WILL BE VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO MAINTAIN THE STATUS QUO. THIS APPROACH MIGHT ALLOW FOR SOME CONTROL OVER DEVELOPMENTS AND COULD IMPROVE THE POSITION FOR SOME MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY.*

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments I feel we should take the initiative now and demonstrate to local and national government the depth of feeling against any development in Sherington - we can't afford to take a "back seat" and wait to see what happens!

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments I feel it is dangerous to agree to even the smallest of new developments within the village and its boundaries - agreement could lead to a flood of new buildings.

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments I am concerned about the future of the school, pubs and shops but still feel new, however limited, development will enliven Sherington and it will end up engulfed by Milton Keynes, and village identity will be lost.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

Development should be restricted to infill sites only. I don't think this policy will cause the village to become static and stagnate.

It is up to the people who live in Sherington to make sure that the village community is lively, forward thinking and dynamic, past and present and future too can be brought together in harmony given the goodwill of all who are privileged to live in Sherington.

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... WE SHOULD ALL TAKE AN INTEREST AND TRY TO  
 ..... INFLUENCE ALL PROPOSALS FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE  
 ..... WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE INVITED TO DO SO  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree  ? see below.

Comments..... I DO NOT BELIEVE THE VILLAGE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO  
 ..... GROW VERY MUCH (DREADFUL EXAMPLES OF VILLAGES WHICH HAVE  
 ..... GROWN OUT OF CONTROL ARE BROMHAM (BEDS) AND CHINNOR (OXON)  
 ..... THE PRESSURE FOR SUCH GROWTH EXISTS - IT MUST BE RESISTED IN MY OPINION.  
 ..... HOWEVER, OVER THE LAST MANY YEARS, THE GROWTH WHICH HAS TAKEN PLACE  
 ..... PUTS SHERINGTON IN A DIFFERENT CATEGORY TO WESTON UNDERWOOD  
 ..... OR NEWTON BLOSSOMVILLE, AND A LITTLE CHANGE COULD BE ACCOMMODATED  
 ..... (IN THE RIGHT PLACE) WITHOUT RUINING THE VILLAGE.

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree  See below.

Disagree

Comments... IMPOSSIBLE TO ANSWER UNTIL ONE KNOWS WHAT "LIMITED" MEANS. IF WE VOLUNTEER FOR DEVELOPMENT THIS MIGHT GIVE THE WRONG MESSAGE TO THE PLANNERS AND MK COUNCIL. IN MY VIEW IN GENERAL WE SHOULD STICK AS FAR AS POSSIBLE TO THE PRESENT LOCAL PLAN. HOWEVER THE WEST OF THE VILLAGE AND PARTICULARLY WATER LANE WOULD BE MUCH IMPROVED BY AN ACCESS ROAD FROM THE SHERINGTON TO NEWPORT PAGNELL ROAD TO THE INDUSTRY AT THE BOTTOM OF WATER LANE (WITH PERHAPS SOME SMALL ASSOCIATED DEVELOPMENT). IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO TIDY UP THE KNOLL AT THE SAME TIME

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

- SHERINGTON LIES ON THE EDGE OF THE OOLITIC LIMESTONE OUTCROP (SAME AS COTSWOLDS) AND THIS ATTRACTIVE LANDSCAPE SHOULD BE PROTECTED, ESPECIALLY AS IT SURROUNDS THE OUSE VALLEY AT THIS LOCATION
  - THE NEW TOWN OF MILTON KEYNES HAS A TREMENDOUS ADVANTAGE OVER ESTABLISHED TOWNS OF THE SAME SIZE - GENERALLY THE COUNTRY AROUND IT IS NOT SPOILED - THIS ADVANTAGE SHOULD NOT BE GIVEN UP WITHOUT A FIGHT
  - IF A LARGE EXPANSION OF MILTON KEYNES TAKES PLACE THEN THE EXTRACTION OF SAND AND GRAVEL COULD BE AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. SHERINGTON IS NEAR AND OVERLOOKS THE SITE OF OUSE VALLEY AGGREGATES. THE PLANNING OF SUCH EXTRACTION FOLLOWS A DIFFERENT PROCEDURE THAN THAT FOR GENERAL PLANNING AND VIGILANCE WILL BE REQUIRED IF THE VILLAGE IS NOT TO BE TAKEN
- Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999. By Surprise
- I BELIEVE, THAT WITHOUT SPOILING THE COUNTRY SIDE, 2 OR 3 NEW VILLAGES SITED IN CAREFULLY LOCATED PLACES, COULD BE BETTER THAN REQUIRING THE HISTORIC VILLAGES TO GROW TOO MUCH.



9/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Much better to accept that MKBC will develop the village at some point. If we accept this limited development it would be much better to have a say in the plans & possibly be able to 'barter' for some benefits.*

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

From: Paul & Carolyn Hawkes  
3 Margot Close

10/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

I think it is better that the Parish Council & residents of Sherington should try to be pro-active in dealing with future planning issues

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... Emphasis on limited development, i.e.  
 ..... development which helps the prosperity of the  
 ..... village, shop, PO, etc. (& helps the school)  
 ..... but which does not damage the village  
 ..... atmosphere  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Village should be consulted on type of development, number & design of buildings.*  
*Affordable housing for young families & singles - well designed.*  
*as well as larger, expensive housing*

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

12/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... All planning applications to develop the village in the manner indicated should be opposed.  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

Your question would appear to be biased towards some development. According to the results of the previous questionnaire this is not what the village wants

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.



13/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

One of the household.

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- ✓ helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- ✓ some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

otherwise in the household

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

Development at 'Yew Tree' could benefit the school with a new hall to be used by school & village. Also play up field & tennis court - this will encourage young people to come & stay in the village.

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *we believe that resistance from the subject would if defeated - lead to development acceptable to the village. If this should prove to be the case then some of the elements to which reference is made under response No. 3 would come to the fore.*

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. IN ESSENCE IT WOULD REACT TO PROPOSALS GENERATED BY THE CORRECT AUTHORITY.

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAL - BUT IF MOST OF THE VILLAGE ARE AGAINST DEVELOPMENT - THE APPEAL WOULD SURELY NOT SUCCEED

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments

IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO BUILD LOW COST HOUSING ON HIGH COST LAND - AND IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO RESERVE THIS HOUSING FOR SELECTED PERSONS AS THIS IS OBVIOUSLY UNLAWFUL ANYWAY.  
THE LOCALS HAVE NOT EVEN ANY CONTROL OVER THE RENTAL OF LOCAL COUNCIL HOUSES - AS WE HAVE SEEN, SO HOW COULD THIS BE IMPLEMENTED (WITHIN THE LAW)

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

THE VILLAGE HALL IS PLENTY BIG ENOUGH ALREADY - EVEN NOW IT IS LARGER THAN NEWBURN PARCELS.  
PROVISION OF FOOTPATHS DOES NOT DEPEND ON ADDITIONAL BUILDING -  
THE VILLAGE IS ALREADY A VIABLE SIZE.

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... *Be flexible with really needed small changes including enlarging the Village Hall facilities & school needs but not encroach new houses over the edge of our lovely Sherington Village. Please let us keep its character intact. But not deny that some growth is inevitable.*

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.



17/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Definitely only limited development, no large housing estates*

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

TO ACCEPT THESE CONDITIONS, THERE MUST BE CLEAR DEFINITIONS OF HOW MANY LOW COST UNITS WILL BE AVAILABLE, BECAUSE SHERINGTON SHOULD NOT JUST BE "FOR THOSE WHO CAN AFFORD TO LIVE HERE". ALSO WE WILL SURLEY NEED A NEW ROAD SYSTEM TO COPE WITH MORE TRAFFIC

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... Excellent, but I think we should include  
 same specific plans for the limited growth.....  
 N. Richardson  
 1. Grass Orchard

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....





21/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

*We approve of proactive dealings w. MKC*

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

*We oppose all development outside the village boundary. We accept limited expansion within village boundary.*

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... Accept limited development but only within  
 village.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

~~YOU CAN'T HAVE LIMITED DEVELOPMENT~~  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.



Sorry,  
Dog got it!

25/117

**PARISH COUNCIL**

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Response Form

1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... how cost starts homes for  
 young village people ka beef or  
 not acceptable.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

26/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

MILTON KEYNES COUNCIL PLANNING AT THIS TIME  
 DOES NOT INCLUDE SHERINGTON FOR EXPANSION  
 SO WHY ARE THE PARISH COUNCIL EVEN SUGGESTING  
 IT ?

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

RESIST EXTENDING ANY VILLAGE BOUNDARY  
 AS THIS WILL DESTROY THE VILLAGE

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments THE MEETING AT THE VILLAGE HALL WAS BIASED TOWARDS THIS ISSUE WHICH IN MY OPINION WAS WRONG. THE ONLY PEOPLE TO BENEFIT FROM THIS IS THE LAND OWNER AND A PLANNING CONSULTANT WHO SEEMED TO BE SO ENTHUSIASTIC THIS SEEMED LIKE A BRAIN WASHING EXERCISE

THIS WOULD BE THE THIN END OF THE WEDGE AND COMPLETELY DESTROY THE VILLAGE.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....  
 .....  
 LEAVE SHERKINGTON ALONE  
 .....  
 .....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

27/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

THERE WILL BE PRESSURE FOR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.  
 SHERINGTON HAS TO PRESENT A CLEAR AND RATIONAL  
 VIEW OF WHAT DEVELOPMENT IS THE BEST AND  
 ARGUE FOR IT AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY.

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... *Need to act now.*

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments

I doubt low cost housing  
 will happen though  
 so young families will be excluded  
 from village.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.



## SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development IssuesResponse Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. in essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. This is the worst option, although it involves the least effort in the short term. However it is playing into the developers' hands. If we don't start organising opposition to any plans until they have been published we will have very little time to prepare. In contrast the developers will have had plenty of time to do their homework. We need to anticipate the various possible outcomes and have a contingency plan appropriate to each. We need to lobby those who are doing the planning to influence the plans while they are at an early stage, so that when the plans are published they will not be contrary to our interests to start with.

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. We should seek to preserve the status quo. By 'status quo' I do not mean keeping the existing buildings and barns, all new ones, but keeping the village in the widest sense. There is a ban on development outside the existing limits - this should stay. Limited development is permitted within the limits, subject to controls - again this can continue. Over the years Sherington has evolved, it has not stood still. The evolution should continue. However the pace of that change has been gradual. Again, it should continue to be gradual. What we are being asked

continued.....

PTO

to accept by the planners is large scale change over a relatively short timeframe. It is this that is unacceptable and is what we must resist.

We are told that the development is needed, not because of an increase in the population, but to cope with more divorces, people living longer, younger people leaving home earlier. These pressures will be present within every community, including Sheington. Is it not reasonable and fair to expect each community to provide for the pressures originating from within it? Sheington should do its bit to accommodate existing residents who live longer, get divorced or young people leaving home, so they don't become a burden on other villages/towns. But why should we have to provide for others from other areas? The Parish Council should estimate the need for extra housing from within existing village residents as a result of these pressures and then plan for it. I suspect this will only be a couple of houses per year, furthermore they need to be the right type of house.

The proposed 5 developments won't do anything to alleviate these needs, the whole estate will be built and sold in one go, and the houses will all go to people who want to move to the area from outside.

Being a village, Sheington has finite capacity. As it is an attractive place to live, demand will always outstrip supply. To preserve the village there have to be definite limits, and those limits enforced. If we don't, it ceases to be a village but merely part of an urban sprawl.

It is a pity that the green belt around the village is not owned by the community as a whole. It would then be protected ~~by~~ from development - local farmers, being only human, are susceptible to outside financial pressure, and will want to line their own pockets (or at least find it difficult to resist).

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments It is assumed that the 'limited development' referred to in the question is of the type proposed in the current 5 plans, or worse, rather than the existing limited development within the existing limits, or provision for genuine local needs). These developments are far too big and will change the character of the village considerably. Any such development does not bring any benefit, only destruction - the so-called 'benefits' are merely partial compensation for this destruction.

The roads in the village, with the exception of the High St, are very narrow and don't necessarily have pavements. They are inadequate to support the required access to the new areas. If they are widened

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

to cope, the character of existing areas of the village will be adversely affected.  
 Putting development on the High St, where there is better access, is not much better - the bypass was built to remove traffic from the High St, and we don't want to put it back.

PTO

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

The doomsday scenario, which everyone probably fears, is that Sherington will become just another Milton Keynes grid square - part of an urban sprawl extending up to Olney. This is horrible and any move towards it must be resisted. It is essential that the existing green belt be protected - not just around the edge of the built-up area, but within the whole of the parish boundary, and in the neighbouring parishes.

We should be concerned about the area between here and North Crawley, Moulsoe and Newport Pagnell. We should join forces with neighbouring councils to resist the plans as a whole - unity brings strength - not just take a NIMBY attitude. The river flood plain only provides a partial natural barrier.

When a new road is proposed there are howls of protest from the 'green' lobby, yet the loss of countryside is a relatively narrow strip. The plans for new houses will require the wholesale destruction of square miles of countryside. Will the 'green lobby' campaign even more vigorously against this?

Milton Keynes Council's support for massive development seems to stem from a desire by the Labour councillors to expand their own powers. Taking over large chunks of Bedfordshire and Bucks by expanding eastwards and westwards obviously achieves this objective. However, those areas will vigorously resist this. If they succeed <sup>in preventing the expansion</sup> MKC will then start to look elsewhere within the existing Borough to find space for houses. Where's all the 'empty' space at the moment? Between here and Leighton. We may not be in the immediate firing line now, but we could easily be.

The scariest thing about this is that the decisions will be taken by 'Two Jags' Prescott, Minister Responsible for traffic jams and rail accidents.

We must preserve the best of what we have for future generations. Once the countryside has gone, it will never return.

30/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments I think a "head-in-the-sand" attitude leaves everyone unprepared and unaware of problems and possibilities.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments While preferring to keep to village envelope, it seems unrealistic and I think a positive grasping of the situation prepares a alerts the public and those on the Parish Council, who have the difficult job, for the possibilities and dangers.

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

① Comments I'm sure it's better to be proactive, prepared and informed, - prepared to provide a good well thought case.

② I think that lower cost housing should be a priority.

③ This way there would be some control over size, style numbers etc.

A positive approach shows the Authority that you are prepared to co-operate and hopefully will prevent the imposition of a far more destructive development.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

I would be concerned if people's views of access gardens, were to be compromised

Thank you for your efforts, we do appreciate what you do - there will always be those who carp.

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

31/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

31/117-2

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

I do not see that (2) and (3) are independent. I suppose that if a landowner were to initiate the move for some development, it would still be open to the village to seek to gain the benefits under (3). Unless, of course, someone in effect is saying that they will be generous if they can start out with village support, but less generous if they are forced to go to appeal.

31/117

The real danger is with (1), and it seems to me that limited development as in (2) or (3) would make it more, not less, likely that Sherington would be chosen for large-scale development.

Perhaps we need a pre-emptive bid, such as a golf course, hotel and some good quality housing filling the triangle between the village and the by-pass.

John Milner



I do not see that (2) and (3) are independent. I suppose that if a landowner were to initiate the move for some development, it would still be open to the village to seek to gain the benefits under (3). Unless, of course, someone in effect is saying that they will be generous if they can start out with village support, but less generous if they are forced to go to appeal.

The real danger is with (1), and it seems to me that limited development as in (2) or (3) would make it more, not less, likely that Sherington would be chosen for large-scale development.

Perhaps we need a pre-emptive bid, such as a golf course, hotel and some good quality housing filling the triangle between the village and the by-pass.

John Milner

31/117

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... *It would be much better to be in the driving seat on this issue.*

*We should have a collective vision for the parish, and lobby for its achievement.*

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... *Resistance at all costs is likely to result in bad developments.*

*Wouldn't it be better to say "Not like that" rather than "Not at all"?*

continued.....

*B.*

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village; ✓
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials; ✓
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one; ✓
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities; ✓
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and \*
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities. ✓

Agree

Disagree

Comments

\* I am also interested in ACCESSIBLE roads i.e. for my children to be able to ride or cycle to Newport, Olney (or even Bedford) without having to mix with 70 mph traffic.

Road building within the parish could enable this together with some non intensive development.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

One of the effects of a tight planning regime is INTENSIVE development, many houses per acre; fears having a view over fields; interesting spaces in the village are lost.

Would the village not be a nicer place, with some limited EXTENSIVE development?

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

B. Coney  
Grey.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

*We feel that decisions should be left to the Parish Council and Residents of the Village.*

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments / NO FURTHER INFILLING. THE VILLAGE IS SUFFICIENTLY FILLED. SOME MODEST EXPANSION ROUND THE EDGES WOULD SURELY BE ENOUGH.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....



SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments Very limited development could be allowed but with the pre-condition that the infrastructure particularly the road in and out of the village must be improved to accommodate the extra vehicular traffic.

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. Whilst we have ticked option 3, we've done this only because it is the best option given for the village. We would however like the Government and Councils to really give greater thought to re-development existing brown sites.

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... If we just wait for others to generate proposals it will be harder for us to have our say if we don't like what is proposed.  
 ..... Leaving it to others suggests we don't care.  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments..... We've got to accept some change. Complete resistance is far to inflexible. If we show some flexibility we can work with those seeking development rather than against them.  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments As stated above, we have to be flexible → accept some change but with certain controls. We've got to keep the village viable as a village.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments *Difficult to say.*.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

continued.....

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments. Possibly - At the moment it is hard to quantify 'limited development' and 'clear benefits'.  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

The village must develop BUT it must remain a village. It must not turn into a housing estate or just a part of the MK sprawl. The problem is that it cannot develop within the existing boundary - but if the boundary is breached then it will be harder to stop it in the future.

I also believe that the view of MK's Council to welcome such a high level of growth, is not shared by the people of MK and should be put to them and the local surrounding area. Should SERPLAN accept the desire to encourage more housing to cater for splitting families?

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.

# SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

## SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

### Response Form

- 1 Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....*EXPANSION OF SHERINGTON VERY THORNY QUESTION*  
 .....  
 .....*IM OPPOSED TO ANY MAJOR EXPANSION*  
 .....*THE SEWAGE SYSTEM IS INADEQUATE THE DRAINAGE OF*  
 .....*STORM WATER COMPLETELY USELESS DESPITE A RESTRUCTURING*  
 .....*OF BOTH IN 1976*  
 .....  
 .....

- 2 Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree

Disagree

Comments.....*I think it important to pre-empt any decisions of the*  
 .....*Blair-Prescott triad's in the shape of Wilson and his acolytes' who*  
 .....*like their masters will govern by Decree instead of democracy if*  
 .....*given half a chance. We must get in first with our objections -*  
 .....*We do not want Sherington or any of the villages East of Milton*  
 .....*Keynes to become a glorified suburbia of MK*  
 .....  
 .....

continued.....



Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:

- provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
- good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
- enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
- helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree

Disagree

Comments... ANY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT MUST BE IN THE ORDER OF A VERY MODT INFIL ALLOWANCE. THERE IS ROOM INSIDE THE VILLAGE FOR SOME 30 HOUSES WITHOUT ENCROACHING ON GREENFIELD SITES. THE THING SHERINGTON NEEDS MOST OF ALL IS MANY MORE TREES WHICH WILL HELP TO ABSORB THE CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS THAT COME FROM THE BYPASS AND M1 MOTORWAY. WE DON'T REALLY NEED A VAST CROP OF FOOTPATHS AND CYCLEWAYS TO ENCOURAGE A RASH OF NON RURAL IGNORAMUSES WITH LEADLESS DOGS RUNNING ALL OVER THE PLACE ADDING TO THE AWFUL MESS DOWN WATER LANE

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

DOGS ON LEADS ARE TO BE ENCOURAGED ESPECIALLY IF THEIR OWNER COMPLEMENT THIS WITH POOP SCOOPS. TO MIX FOOTPATHS AND BICYCLES SEEMS TO ME TO BE AN IRRESPONSIBLE RECIPE FOR DISASTER AND WHEN YOU ADD RIDDEN HORSES AND DOGS TO THE EQUATION IT CAN ONLY BE A MATTER OF TIME BEFORE SOME PAINFUL FORM OF HOSTILITY BREAKS OUT. A FEW FARM TRACTORS WILL SURELY ADD TO THE CONFUSION

Please return this form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.