October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	begins a further	take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Coun- eview of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change ence it would react to proposals generated by others.	ci a
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Comments		
			• • •
2	village and on its seek to gain plar	resist new development both within the existing boundary of the edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowne ning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	rs
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Comments		
			•••

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments O	ont think you can limit int of Jone landowers missions why should int another
	June Man
Set out below any oth	er views you have on these issues

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

		District of the second of the
1	begins a furthe	ald take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council r review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at ssence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree	
	Disagree	
2	inevitable the some of the SERPLAN. He this by itself has no full for belt. The effer roads, water transport ne Sherington couvillage and on it seek to gain pl	I feel that in taking no action could send the wrong Milton Keynes Borough Council. It would appear at Sherington and the surrounding area must accept additional housing that has been proposed under lowever, it is highly unlikely that Sherington could resist especially where this appears to be politically led and bundation for "carte blanche" development of the green ects of such a large development on the infrastructure—, sewerage, hospitals, education + pollution & poor ed to be carefully considered. Indicated that landowners are development both within the existing boundary of the ts edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners anning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	the commun development back garden out Sherington e Sherington e would be see	Resisting ALL development would be unwise and rington the image of listening and acting together within ity. This is especially so when if we turn down then it is likely that it will appear in someone else's and vice versa. Further, in taking this stance could rule on from development that could be positive for even on a limited basis. The proposed course of action on to be so negative by MKBC + developers that we would not be listened to.
	(5.1.5.111.6.11)	continued

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree			
Disagree			
the expense of greedy landow has a say in its Community development the ""City" of will surely find	f Sherington and viners etc. The be s future and right! In doing this it withat will make it a Milton Keynes as lout that Shering!	accept some "LIMITED" development but not at the profit of property speculators / developers / enefit of this would be to ensure that Sherington fly reaps the benefits to the benefit of the will give Sherington the position to reject such fully integrate and seamlessly connected part of another suburb. This survey and other meetings pton wants to remain as a village in its own right inburb.	*****
Set out below	any other views y	you have on these issues	
Strength must be maint within the Villa opinions are ac	only comes in ained with other li ge are represente cted-upon, it is ho	n numbers and therefore good communication like minded communities to ensure that people ed fully, listened to and whose views and oped that our wishes are acted upon and not the hto swallow us up.	*****
Once the t	armac and concre	rete has been laid down it will not be posibble to e rural aspect that we have now.	******
Any develo	opment that is ma and not mirror in	ade MUST reflect the local style and rural building mage of MK standard brick built housing.	
that future gen	erations are not le	III benefit of Sherington must be made to ensure eft with the mistakes that could be made today.	

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counciling a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.	ai at
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments WE FEEL WE SHOWLD RESIST OUT DE LOCAL VULLAGE BOWNDARY PLAN DEVELOPMENT	
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowned seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand successed appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	rs
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments	

- Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments	

Set out below an	y other views you have on these issues
and a solow all	y other views you have on these issues

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Could begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.	nci
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments	
		• • • •
		••••
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowned seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand su appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	ers
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments	

Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be: provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village; good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials; enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one; helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities; provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.
Agree
Disagree
Comments. The above are all sound ideas which would used carefull thought.
Set out below any other views you have on these issues
I) we are to protect sharington from
If we are to protect showington from the positional areas of twee need to be planted

45/117

SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	begins a further	take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counci review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a ence it would react to proposals generated by others.	
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Comments		
2	village and on i	I resist new development both within the existing boundary of the edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners uping consent through the appeal system. On the other hand suc	S
2	village and on it seek to gain pl		S
2	village and on it seek to gain pl	edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowner uning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand sucl	S
2	village and on it seek to gain pl appeals might f	edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowner uning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand sucl	S

	3	Sherington c brings clear b	ould accept the princi penefits and some of th	ple of some limited development provided that it lose might be:
WA YE YE	SURE FFLE! S SODLAND	 good qual enhancing helping to provision some limit 	the viability of the existing the viability of the existing finance a new village the finance and the space of additional open space.	ing to help local young people stay in the village; sympathetic style and building materials; sting school or helping to finance a new one; hall with a wider range of facilities; ce/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and help to safeguard the future of the village pubs,
		Agree		
	-	Disagree		
	Q. 27. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38. 38	AUTHOR BETTER CLOSE ACLOW ALLOW ALLOW	ISE ONEZONE !! MAIL DELIVERY HARTIGANS - MORE INDUSTRY ONE DEVICEDONE	DUERFIEAD ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION. BLE TELECOMAS TO VILLAGE. CHONE MAST IN VILLAGE. (TOO CATE CUMENTLY). OUT OF PLACE. IN WATER LAWE. MIN NEW PORT D. IT'S A MESS HERE'S THERE'S THE VIRALE BUSINESSES?
	9	Set out below :	any other views you ha	and the second second second second second
	0 L	NHY (A)	N Yest NoT	ACCEPT REPLIES VIA
	9	PROACT	VE V NEACT	IVE ?

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counc begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand suclappeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree		
Comments		
Sat out below and	religio. This-scenario de la Companya del Companya del Companya de la Companya de	
Set out below ar	other views you have on these issues	
Sheningra	liène strongly that if possible should be in control of its own	
destin.		

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Response Form

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council
	begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree
Disagree
Comments
Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the
village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments Do mul restance might backfire
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments. 100 mul restance might back free the such that the second is present in part of the such that the second is presented.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments Do mul restance might backfire
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments. 100 mul restance might back free the such that the second is present in part of the such that the second is presented.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments. 100 mul restance might back free the such that the second is present in part of the such that the second is presented.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments. 100 mul restance might back free the such that the second is present in part of the such that the second is presented.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments. 100 mul restance might back free the such that the second is present in part of the such that the second is presented.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree Comments. 100 mul restance might back free the such that the second is present in part of the such that the second is presented.

2

47/117-2

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree					
Disagree					
Comments	hould	I vally.	rent is Better tropped of	bound by that	N
Set out below any					

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments I feel we should try to get the
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments I believe like many others that at least one of the building sites pull forward has possibly been agreed by MK council a long time ago, even the local bus services have amost doubled with very few passengers most of the time, even before I use to mention one of a sumber of changes seen.

3	Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that i brings clear benefits and some of those might be:	t
	and some of those might be:	

provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;

good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;

enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;

helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;

- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and ?
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs,
 shops and other social activities.

onopo una o	ner social activities.
Agree	
Disagree	
life cor Life cor Lo kry as	m against any more green belt land ed by man, but conservation is fighting buttle. Therefore my I suggest wild indoes around some of the building sites deave what little is left of some species inpo need not be wide, then planting just row of Beach, Oak and Rowan 12ft apart ixed beach to be withorn ledge on the autiside
Sharington co- village and en 6	
Set out below an	other views you have on these issues
Far bree Misa sali religionin many lih	line and excluding people. This should sky quite a number of other people by their periods to me and apenly
Ann of La	

49/117

SHERINGTON PARISH COUNCIL

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Councibegins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.	at
>	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments	
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowner seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand suc appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	s
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments	
		•

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments	
Sterington rou	All argins dues to elections bette artises the strategy to overlay of the
Set out below a	ny other views you have on these issues
SHERING	GTON IS "HIGHLY DESIREABLE"
	IS, AND SHOULD STAY AS IT IS.
	ALL MATO WHY WE ALL CAME

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree ,		
Comments why de u	se reed	any of Rese.
Set out below any other views you	have on these issue	s

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Response Form

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council
	begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree

2

Disagree	U		
Comments			
village and on its e	resist new development edge. The consequences ning consent through the	of this approach mig	ht be that landowners
appeals might fail,	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
appeals might fail,	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve		r the time being.
Agree Disagree	thereby, deflecting deve	lopment pressures for	continued

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree				
Disasses	П			
Disagree	11 1	. 1.		
Comments	H there 1	s to be	developm	cut -
iv is	much be	the 18	grant the	cut - liteily - meltte nan te and
and	manage	the p	soblem 11	Am
gel	and follow	d par	my loann	te and
Set out below	any other views y	you have on the	se issues	

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counc begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments A SHORT TERM EXPEDIENT WHILST WE YLAN FOR GAINA IN SINERINGTON.
	IN SIDERINGTON
	SCE (3)

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - · enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - · provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree			
Disagree			
	PLANNED CHY ONCY TO P NO GROWTH CAN BE THAN	+ NECESSIAN	27 1F GROW 774
	MET ONC HOUSES PER MATELY O	1 _ SUSSE	EXEDS TO BE TO MAX STO 10 PRINSTRUCTURE TO TO 30 YEAR PERIOD IN DO
Set out belo	ow any other views you ha		WC IN D
		Tong	PICCHER
			and the same of th

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	begins a further review of its Loc	on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Councileal Plan and respond to any proposals for change after to proposals generated by others.
	Agree	
	Disagree	
2	village and on its edge. The cons seek to gain planning consent th	relopment both within the existing boundary of the equences of this approach might be that landowners rough the appeal system. On the other hand such ting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree	
	Disagree	

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Disagree Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY OPINION, RE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS WOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS.	Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY OPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS MOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MORE BALANCED	Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY OPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS HOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS	Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY DPINION RE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS MOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MORE BALANCED		
Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE, PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY OPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS HOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS	Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY OPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS HOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MORE BALANCED	Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY DPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS HOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MARE BALANCED	Comments I BELIEVE THE DEVELOPMENT IS ESSENT FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY DPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS WOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MARE BALANCED	Agree	
FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE, PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY OPINION BE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS WOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS	FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE, PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY DPINION, RE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS NOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MORE BALANCED	FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE, PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY DPINION, RE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS NOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MORE BALANCED	FOR THE FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE, PROVIDING THIS IS LIMITED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE THE AREA BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, IN MY DPINION, RE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT THAN THE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS NOULD MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS MORE BALANCED	Disagree	
IVIANCE SITE STOCKED				FOR THE IS LIMIT THE ARE IN MY THAN TO NOULD	FUTURE OF THE VILLAGE, PROVIDING THIS ED - NOT A LARGE HOUSING ESTATE A BEHIND THE HIGH STREET WOULD, OPINION, RE BETTER FOR DEVELOPMENT TE TOP END OF THE VILLAGE - THIS MAKE SHERINGTON HOUSING AREAS
Set out below any other views you have on these issues				Set out below a	ny other views you have on these issues
Set out below any other views you have on these issues				Set out below a	ny other views you have on these issues
Set out below any other views you have on these issues				Set out below a	ny other views you have on these issues
Set out below any other views you have on these issues				Set out below a	ny other views you have on these issues
Set out below any other views you have on these issues				Set out below a	ny other views you have on these issues

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Response Form

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council
	begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Disagree
Comments. It's better to be involved early than to
have something done to you
for the application that the second of the s
Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
which there is a sure of the territory of a complete the
Agree
Disagree
Comments 2 and 3 are related; From a registrating
position it is possible to move from 2 to 3,
but not from "3" to "2". Herefore it is better to start
with "2".

2

w2-she~1/APPR'99

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;

- provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
- some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree		
Comments. and sage of is a not money. Deve money Stilled Let the	you take this approach, developers would see it as "the the wedge" and the could exploit it: is in a "heire reasonable, nice guy "approach. This is in a "heire reasonable, nice guy "type of insule - it's all about your will do arriting I say anything in order to make as a personable for themselves "you will need some very that's Developers are very skilled at registrating, Recommendate egotating team: spit the that roles between the financial and the proposal negotiation	
Set out below	ny other views you have on these issues	
We y	hick it's very good that you are consulting the	
	Diane + Andy Skuart	

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments This would be Putting off THE ENEVITABLE: WE SHOW BE PROPETIVE AS A Community TO SAFE GRADO ONE ENVIRONMENT TOR
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such
	appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree
Comments A Little Smare Ammount of Obviled motor Lower Benefit The William Distriction to Associate the Little Control of Associated the Control of Associated the Control of Associated the Control of Associated the Control of Cont
Set out below any other views you have on these issues

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council
	begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree

	Disagree
	Comments THIS - PROPOSAL SEEMS TO NOW- COMY MAL-
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments This could BACK FAR IN THE END

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - · enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

	,
Agree	
Disagree	
BE BLINKERED AND	IS THE MOST SENSIBLE PROPOSAL. WE CANNOT DEVELOPEMENT IN REFUSING ANY DEVELOPEMENT IN WE BE SO OVERUN WITH WEND LOSE OUT UILLY SE IDENTITY.
Set out below any other vie	ws you have on these issues
Natural Colores	

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments SHERNGTON SHOULD ACTIVELY RESIST ANY
	PROTOSAL FOR DEVELOPHENT OUTSIDE OF THE
	EXISTING DEURIPMENT BOUNDARY
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments CONTINUE TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT WITHIN
	THE EXISTING BOUNDARY WITH CURRENT PLANNING
	RESTRICTIONS RESIGT ANT DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE
	THE EXISTING BOWNDARY
	continued

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - 2. good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - 5 provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree			
Disagree			
Comments. 5E	& ATTACHED	SHEET.	
••••••			
	•••••		
Set out below any o	other views you have on t	hese issues	
			•••••
		•••••	

- 1-The Parish Council has no say on planning revisions after initial planning consent so low cost housing becomes high cost.-[previous example -`Village Close`]
- 2-Any development should meet good quality design, materials and style regardless of whether or not the development gets the approval of the village.
- 3-The future of the village school is dependent upon it's quality and reputation not only on the number of dwellings in the village.
- 4-If we need a new Village Hall [and I question that], then it needs to be justified and if it is needed it can be built without bribery from developers.
- 5-This is too vague. Whatever is being offered will need maintenance in the future-by who?
- 6-As with No 3 above, the future of any business in the village is dependent upon the service that it provides not the number of residents. When Carters Close was completed there were three shops in the village. Then one closed! A case was made to close the White Hart due to lack of customers. When it was taken over and rescued it was shown that it was a viable business, alas without any new houses!!

COMMENT-

It is obvious that developers will offer a wide range of 'planning gain' bribes in order to assist in meeting their objectives. These should be ignored. If the outcome of the regional development programme dictates major development around Sherington ,there will be ample opportunity to negotiate planning gain.

Tom Le Cool

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counci begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand sucl appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree					
Disagree					
NEW IP NILLAG	i.v HALL 14	POSSIBILTY RW OF NILL A LATINE ATO VOVODO CON 7108 FAST	501-7 W 174	TRUSTKK (+	
Set out below a	ny other views y	ou have on these	issues		
					••••••

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Coubegins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.	
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments If we resist now when Shengton has not been directly included as an area for housing development does this not draw attention to the area and availability of land	- .)
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landow seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	ner
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Comments we should resist development as soon as Shearyton becomes actively cocheded in the Multon Keynes Lacal Plan	

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
have control	for the control "I'm ted" development? The it seems a good dea to have low cost. James people to stay a travellage but how do that it is for local people? howsing to enhance mability of the school one, amuddo to this require many framework.
Set out below any ot	her views you have on these issues

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Response Form

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council
	begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments	
1 /	0 -1 1 1 1
	shalet not be rectio but
mo-	active in or appreced
village and on its ed	sist new development both within the existing boundary of the ge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners g consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such
	ereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	-
appeals might fail, th	-
appeals might fail, the	ereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
appeals might fail, the Agree Disagree	ereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
Agree Disagree Comments	-
Agree Disagree Comments	ereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
Agree Disagree Comments	ereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

2

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Shops and ot	er social activities.	
Agree		
Disagree		
Comments		
	lagree aboutely	
Shartopion on		
Set out below any	other views you have on these issues	
Tle	poise could shall ideally land	
Hut I	for planny perman cyprocles	
	AMoky	
Please return this	form to The Parish Council by 31 October 1999.	e

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counci begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.				
	Agree				
	Disagree				
	Comments				
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.				
	Agree				
	Disagree				
	Comments				

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - · helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments	
	her views you have on these issues
I feel that I and it you untilature	this village is beg enough as it is led be said if this village became a milton keynes to benefit the lansounce.

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	begins a furthe	d take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Cou review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for chang sence it would react to proposals generated by others.	unci ge a
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Comments		
2	seek to gain pla	resist new development both within the existing boundary of edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landown ining consent through the appeal system. On the other hand so thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	2010
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Comments		

62/117-2

Development Issues - Response Form (cont.)

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree		
Comments		
		•••••
		•••••
Set out below a	other views you have on these issues	
PI SCRALN	OF TREES PROUND SINKS TO PROTECT WINDLIN	t
		•••••
•••••		

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Councibegins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand sucl appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments Some deeres of resistance to development and to
	Comments Some degree of resistance to development must be temporard by The reaction This can undise

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - · enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	Ø			
Disagree				
Comments 7.	s occur should shall to enall	ld be on him e major ext	ital i.e. Ao	that a handle
Set out below a	ny other views y	ou have on these	issues	
GO THE STATE OF TH				

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counci begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Agree	
Disagree	
not under	nt (1) Should be emphasised as a It should however, be restricted to consing for tallase young people - and out to low-cost housing for and be encouraged to remoun in the many up their families - at the moment impossibility
Set out below any o	other views you have on these issues

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments. THE VIEWS OF THE VILLAGE HAVE ALREADY BEEN
	Comments The A POLICE CONNERS PURCES IN FERRICANA 1880
	ESTABLISHED BY A CONTINUALLY AND AT ALL OMORIDARITES
	RE-EHFORCED IN A PRO-ACTIVE DAMHER.
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the
	village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners
	seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such
	appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	2
	Agree IF THAT MESIST
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
	Disagree
	Comments
	Comments
	FACE NIDER - EVEN BY BRIBERY WITH GIFTS
	OF SAY MONEY FOU SCHOOL PUICELING THIS REJUMENS
	THE MILTON LEYNES COUNCIL'S MESPONSIBILITY, RUEN
	IF THEY AME BAUMMUNT
	/

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
	COSY IDEA BUT IN MARTIER IT WOULD ONLY MOVIDE CHEAP HOLESING FOR "IN-COPERS" SHENINGTON CAMMOT DECIDE WHO IS ALLOCATED A HOLESE.
3.	ESSENTIAL IN STATE INFILL DEVELOPATENTS LIMITED DEVELOPATENT WON'T DO THES, THE DEST TO ANY PRINTED DEVELOPER/LANDIONED WHO OTHERED TO FLORED THE THIS WOULD BE TOO HICK, THE PHYBREY SELLING OUT TO DEVELOPATENT PLANS WHOCH ME NO
/ 6/	OTHER VILLAGES WHERE THEY REMLY WANT A HEW ON IMMOUSED VILLAGE HALL FIRST A WAY OF DOING IT, NOT CONPATIBLE WITH THE PLANT TO COVER DONE STARE WITH COMPACTED WITH THE PLANT TO COVER DONE STARE WHEN A CONFESSION OF THE
	ALL TOO RAPY TO SAY PROME DEVELOPOTENT COICE
CONTUR HAVE P	PONER PROPER WHO WILL ENHANCE THE VILLAGE MITY SPIRIT AND PROVIDE DONE INCOPE IT WILL A LIVITED REFECT IF ANY. — PROPABLY TUST OF A BIGGER DONSTITORY FOR PONE BOUTSTRY
/thus	TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	comments. The issues relating to the future expansion of Multon Keynes (vis a vis Serplan) might form the basis of a promised Referendum (MKC) which could be introduced prior to a statutom review of the Local Plan. Any subsequent veriew would thus be Referendum led. There is a possibility that Shermaton's viewpoint's could be swamped by any Referendum majority is a reactive option. Shermaton should be proactive, towards a longer term form of planned development.
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	comments Reactive option requiring largely negative arguments in defence. Set against a general scenario of debate upon the future expansion of MK, some such arguments could prove unsustainable, ea Transportation, where the planning authority might favour developer provided gam, in the circumstances for the immediate future, this option could prove a dangerous gamble for shevington.

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

	,			
Agree				
Disagree				
Sheving to Sheving to	1. "Circen B on a) to li onanve tra settlement a be seem several be	elt" Buffer mut anns ex it Shirmate richism who ned m cont nefit restrict	efit should ring avours pansion for on remains en the other ext, tive covenant	all time an prorites
Limited as brigger ter Shevingte carbuncul	evelopment m as par m b) she er addition rish count	I should s	sues be phised weropment from the united to lead very proach towns from development to the contract of the co	esidents
			DI	Herris.

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree ✓
	Comments. We definitely do not want to sit and wait for the MKC to propose plans that affect. Sherington we would ninch rather take as much achieve now as is possible to preserve the village as a village and do not want to see it swallowed up by Millon Keynoods.
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments We would prefer no new development as it is extremeles unlikely that law-cost housing with the sent of the
	Comments we save a supplied that law-cost in what so hearing with his hearing with the style of most of the cest of the williage through a some development were inautable which is probably the case it would be preforable for this to be sanctrared by the village rather than being import warm

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - · helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree
Disagree
Comments Agree in principle only as we feel that some development is probably inevitable Do NOT agree with lay-cost housing we asless In heeping with the cheacher of the
Manufaction about interest time the accompanies that he within the accompanies countainly of the advantage and the advantage of the appropriate time and the following accompanies to the advantage of the advanta
Set out below any other views you have on these issues
in any steen which cenes the support of
appreciate the time + mble and those involved
and it is, while facing up to inevitable change
in the future. Please Keep up the good work!

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

	s a further rage. In ess		react to prop	oosals genera	ted by others		
Agree							
Disag	ree						
Comm	nents	Stould - Let xon - e "lasy	be live it be h otherwa	Ivid vig Enour I se M.K.	ht at we may be	he Lave soume the	at
village seek appea	e and on its to gain plar ils might fai	edge. The co	onsequences t through the	of this approa	ach might be em. On the	boundary of that landown other hand su time being.	ers
village seek	e and on its to gain plar ils might fai	edge. The co	onsequences t through the	of this approa	ach might be em. On the	that landown other hand su	ers
village seek appea Agree	e and on its to gain plar ils might fai	edge. The conning consent	onsequences t through the lecting devel	of this approa appeal syste opment press	ach might be em. On the ures for the t	that landown other hand su time being.	ers uch
village seek appea Agree	e and on its to gain plar ils might fai	edge. The conning consent I, thereby, def	onsequences t through the lecting devel	of this approa appeal syste opment press	ach might be em. On the ures for the t	that landown other hand su	ers uch

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree			
Disagree			
Comments			
Set out below any	y other views you have	on these issues	
	, can of the new your mark	7 011 tillogo 155ac5	

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	begins a further	ald take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counciler review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree	
	Disagree	
2	Sherington covillage and on iseek to gain p	THE NILLAGE! HAS A CLEAR IDEA OF HOW WHICH TO BE IT CAN HAKE TO BE IT CAN HAKE TO MENTING FROM THE BOX. THE NILLAGE! HAS A CLEAR IDEA OF HOW HAKE TO BE IT CAN HAKE THE TIME OF HOW TO POPPEALS WHICH TO HEN THE ENEMY! IS PROPERLY DENTIFIED. UNITED THE SHEMY! IS PROPERLY DENTIFIED.
	Agree	SEE OF THE WEEKS A SEX MODERN SAN
	Disagree	
	PARTICULAR REFLECT A RARISH BE GEAR OPPOSITION	LY WHERE LAND IS LEFT MAKED WITH NO WALL POTENTIAL. ALL SHERINGTON'S RESIDENTS YOULD BY WHY THEY CAME AND STAY IN THIS MILLAGE, A BORDIAGH COUNCILS PLANNING APPROVALS HUST FOR OPPOSITION SAME WILL NOT BE IN THE

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - 2 good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - 4 helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - 5 provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
VILLAGE LIFE	IT IS KEY THAT THE NEW DEVELOPMENT IS ENABLE YOUNG TO STAY AND YOUNG CAN COME TO ALITY AND DESIGN HUST BE SYMPATHETIC TO A STYLE AND NOT CHANGE THE VILLAGE AMBIANCE, ERY IMPORTANT IN MY VIEW AT PRESENT THE S NOTHAVE MANY GOOD WALKS FOR DOG OWNERS

Set out below any other views you have on these issues

I THINK THAT A GETTER BUS SERVICE WOULD BE	
NEEDED. IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS A KEY HORNING BUS	
HAS BEEN REHOVED FROM SERVICE TO THE VILLAGE AT	-
A STROAK THE NEW YOUNG IN THE VILLAGE WOULD NEE	D
A SOLID SETTVICE BETWEEN 745 + 9AH - 4-45 - 6306	ZM
TO ENABLE PEOPLE TO WORK IN MK AND NOT BE FORCED TO	
PAY THE HIGH COST OF PARKING	

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Counc begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change a that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - · provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree		
Comments it	is important that only "some" + ont" Housing is supported. ony way preference night he given to local young previous?	
Is There genuinaly	local young presence night he given to	
Set out below a	other views you have on these issues	
	I granment require green field developed to the sound are on the sound likely needs to or will the "consultations"	ment 1
Ir while	as hing 3.	

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments

- Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it 3 brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree		
the consultation	by it is profesable to maintain an open position need to accept some change a lighter to be a fast at the outset, rather than just fighting decisions in other. We must show willing	
Set out below any	other views you have on these issues	
If My sopare	in partnered from M. K. and crossing over	
Sent southing	cause enatures treffec conjection because of the ven	7

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree 🗸
	Comments Take action now to try to
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments Resist new development at all stages to maintain seperate vellage status and identity

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree					
Disagree		, nachan	filing a	i harri	dood
Comments	Maintair	statu	a guo.		
Sherington in a				contact design	
Set out below an					
zmall rall	fle di	ho wis	I to I	hire es	The
a t	enemous !	you w	ants la	live	èn.
Vellage	o Jan		ing one of		
					THE RESERVE

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
Agree
Disagree
Comments You cannot standstill lix there be introcled progress to benefit the next generation
Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being. Agree Disagree

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	V				
Disagree					
Comments	The is we	alone	peconer	redation	ix the
	9	······································			
		intelligencers in Spirit for most			
Set out below any	other views yo	u have on thes	e issues		
			······		

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments Resist all proposals for development within the existing boundary and also on the edges of the boundary
	Comments Resist all proposals for development within the existing foundary and also on the edges of the boundary

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Set out below any	other views you have on these issues

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments MILTON KETNES CONCILS CURRENT PLANHING DOCUMENTS INDICATE THAT SHERINGTON IS NOT YET INDENTIFIED AS A LOCATION FOR SIGNIFICANT HOUSING DEVELOPMENT WHY PUSH OUR PLATE UP FOR IT?
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments To EXTEND THE VILLAGE BOUNDARY WOULD SPOIL THE FRIENDLY VILLAGE ATMOSPHERE WHICH IS HERE AT PRESENT.

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree					
Disagree	\checkmark				
VILLAGE A DEVI OWN LO THE V THEATRE PROPLE THE	TO SIGNIFI WOULD ELOPMENT OF JCAL SHOPSER LLLARGE HA ETC & I SPIME PROVISION 15 GOOD LITY HAVE BRINGS VE	MAKE IT 1004 HOU 1. OUR S QUITE NOF LOU IN PRIN	ANOTHER SES WOULD EXISTING ON NEVER CO. ADEQUATE COST HOUSIN VCIPAL TITIS DOE	SUBVED OF PROBABLY SES WOULD NOT WELL DEN	BRING ITS BRING ITS JOT CAIN, H THE NEW ILLAGIE LOCAL YOUNG BLOPEMENTS IN RUE & VERY
Set out bel	ow any other view	s you have o	n these issues	·	
			••••••		

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	begins a furthe	I take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynereview of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for sence it would react to proposals generated by others.	es Counci change a		
	Agree				
	Disagree				
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.				
	Agree				
	Disagree				
	Comments				

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - · provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - · good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - · helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments Any Land Darie Cent Land Marine	development will enhance and fegulation the prince of the water depends to the water depends to the water of
Set out below any oth	ner views you have on these issues
hor strong money plan at though	Communal facilities Village half Casparks Eas and feel here issue

October 1999

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council
	begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at
	that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.

Agree					
Disagree					
Comments	Any do	yelogu yeon j Sut i	out au Sutwo Sutwo	ond u	ja Suser
donel	cartre	s who lable	zh 'wi	ll not	
village and on it seek to gain pla	ald resist new design of the contact and the contact and the consent all, thereby, defle	nsequences of the app	his approach n peal system.(night be that la On the other h	ndowners and such
Agree					
Disagree					
Comments	Newson and the second				
				continued	

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree				
Disagree				
	En the cur environment on the his weage.		a developn	put
Set out below any	y other views you have	on these issues		
I thin intil develo zave any l	to the continued	the c	vould Wen s affective real/region	k S

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

Response Form

1	begins a furth	ld take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Coun review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change seence it would react to proposals generated by others.	at
	Agree		

Agree				
Disagree				
		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	 •	•••••	•••••	

Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments	

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree	
Disagree	
Comments	
Set out below any	other views you have on these issues
Class Rescory	Stould series All attents to lasy of the sold of Mullin Reyness, the subole, they cuted use the sould be touched the last destroyeng its territory connecting to be conscilled commonly for a frewelessed for a free free free free free free free f

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.
	Agree
	Disagree
	Comments
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowners seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand such appeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.
	Agree
	Disagree

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree		
Disagree		
Cotomento		
	old health special conferenced has	th error the entiting boundary of th
Set out below:	ny other views you have on thes	rent day water magnit be didn as nacember work may been the fire differ hand sugar
		se issues

October 1999

continued.....

SHERINGTON PARISH APPRAISAL - Development Issues

1	Sherington could take no action on this issue and wait until Milton Keynes Council begins a further review of its Local Plan and respond to any proposals for change at that stage. In essence it would react to proposals generated by others.				
	Agree				
	Disagree				
	Comments Whilst Sharington is not yet in to Council Thoughts for additional development The pointsh Corner to be Thinking about The justine arterator	e de			
2	Sherington could resist new development both within the existing boundary of the village and on its edge. The consequences of this approach might be that landowner seek to gain planning consent through the appeal system. On the other hand suclappeals might fail, thereby, deflecting development pressures for the time being.	S			
	Agree				
	Disagree	1			
	comments To avoid le accesation of Mirrey 1smg accept l'autred la fil development unitére Re extering village ou relope				
		•			

- 3 Sherington could accept the principle of some limited development provided that it brings clear benefits and some of those might be:
 - provision of some low cost housing to help local young people stay in the village;
 - good quality design reflected in sympathetic style and building materials;
 - enhancing the viability of the existing school or helping to finance a new one;
 - helping to finance a new village hall with a wider range of facilities;
 - provision of additional open space/new woodland/footpaths and cycleways; and
 - some limited growth would also help to safeguard the future of the village pubs, shops and other social activities.

Agree				
Disagree				
tous for hour cost hour cost	to some of when the some of which the some of which the some of th	Debato be a gude le ca gude le cost for fre ley to he	to be read to be read to be read to purchase	nite atmost
Set out below a	any other views you	u have on these iss	sues	